developed small papular lesions on the glans penis. Lesions enlarged rapidly and started eroding the undersurface of the prepuce. Finally, 3 months later, the prepuce was perforated. Examination revealed a large, circular defect on the dorsal aspect of the prepuce through which multiple papulonodular, warty lesions were visible (fig 1). Warty lesions were also visible all around the prepuce. Warty lesions showed features consistent with condyloma acuminatum. Serology for HIV and syphilis were negative. Maite and Hay reported), more so in tropics. HIV infection by 50–60% and syphilis were negative.

In our earlier report all patients with dorsal preputial perforation had ulcerative diseases involving genitalia. Maite and Hay earlier reported a patient with genital warts treated with podophyllin, who presented later with perforation of the dorsal surface of prepuce. They considered it as delayed podophyllin damage. Our patient had not been treated before with podophyllin. The identical presentation in our and the reported patient suggests that warts themselves and not podophyllin are responsible for perforation. Condylomas particularly in immunocompromised individuals may attain a very large size and rarely become locally invasive and destructive.1 In our patient, however, condylomas were not very large and there was no evidence of immunosuppression.

Our patient had condylomas all over the glans, but perforation took place only on the dorsum of the prepuce, confirming that this site is more susceptible to this complication. Incidentally, two more patients with perforation on the dorsal surface of the prepuce as a complication of chancroid and genital herpes have been depicted in A colour atlas of AIDS in the tropics.1 Both patients were HIV seropositive. This suggests that this complication is not uncommon (though underreported), more so in tropics. HIV infection by altering the course and severity of genital lesions of sexually transmitted diseases probably makes this complication more frequent. Out of the 10 patients reported/published, half were HIV seropositive.

Table 1 Comparison of culture for T vaginalis from centrifuged urethral and self collected vaginal swab in 675 women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T vaginalis urine culture</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T vaginalis self administered vaginal swab</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kappa = 0.256

insensitive for identification of trichomonads in women. Since only 5–10 organisms in a sample are necessary for a positive culture, these findings were unexpected. We cannot fully explain why culture of urine for T vaginalis in women proved so poor. Because of contamination of the external genitalia with vaginal fluid, a first void urine specimen might have proved a better sample.
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It is 6 years since the first edition of this book and the expansion in knowledge about lower genital tract precancer reflected in the addition of an assistant and a contributing author, as well as an increase in the number of pages (from 254 in the first edition to 323 in the present one).

The extra input and space has been used to maximal effect with the book losing none of its attractions of appearance, content, and even texture by its use of high quality paper.

The addition of a chapter on the role of human papilloma virus in lower genital tract neoplasia makes the book more rounded. This chapter is comprehensive as well as excellently presented and very up to date. I appreciated the section on the role of oncogenic HPV detection in the prevention of lower genital tract precancer, although this naturally concerned GIN rather than VIN or VaIN.

I would have preferred chapter 5 (Cytology and screening for cervical precancer) to follow chapter 2 (HPV in the pathogenesis of lower genital tract neoplasia) and then the more practical aspects of colposcopy itself would not be introduced so abruptly. This is a small criticism of an otherwise comprehensive and logical content.

The chapter on the management of cervical precancer is a delight to read and see, with the section devoted to HIV positive women reflecting most shades of reliable opinion in this developing field. HIV is again included in the chapter on VIN.

GU colposcopy will be particularly interested in the final chapters on infective conditions causing confusion in diagnosis of lower genital tract precancer. It is easy to quibble with some of the statements of management of the infections noted (cervical warts do not even merit a mention of treatment) but that is not the remit of the book.

The illustrations are gorgeous throughout and the line work is used to very good effect. The overabundant book critic might mention the data left on some colposcopic photographs, the venerable laser machine showed on page 171 and whether the speculum is correctly placed on page 36, but not me.

This is a “must buy.” It’s a big book (in size, content, and price) which should form the nucleus of the colposcopist’s library.

D A HICKS
Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Department of Genitourinary Medicine, Glossop Road, Sheffield S10 2JF


I liked this book. An alternative title could be “An evidence based review of prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of congenital and perinatal infection.” The editors, both recognised experts in perinatal infection, persuaded an international panel to provide up to date reviews of particular perinatal infections with key references up to 1999/2000. Despite clearly a short production time an inevitable weakness is that new data have become available after going to press. To keep costs down there are few illustrations and a lot of text. However, tables are widely used and the text is well broken up. One third of the book is devoted to references, so all the text is strongly evidence based, and statements are not based on authors’ opinion but on published literature.

There is an excellent introduction on the interaction between pregnancy and infection and a thorough discussion on maternal infections and their consequences. This section ends with a review of the pitfalls and benefits of screening for antenatal infections including an excellent summary of the potential biases involved in setting up and evaluating screening programmes.

The second section is a traditional whirl through the standard common infections in pregnancy. Highlights include Halm’s excellent chapter on herpes simplex infection, and Mandelbrot and Newell’s thorough review of vertical transmission of hepatitis viruses. I was disappointed to see no detailed discussion of HIV+ infection or a more detailed review of the role of perinatal infections in cerebral palsy.

Two other criticisms could be a relative lack of assessments of cost effectiveness of screening programmes already in place and for the future. The introduction of new screening programmes and the retention of existing screening programmes—for example, syphilis and rubella, need to be increasingly driven by cost-benefit analysis. It would also be interesting to have had some speculation about why different infections have such different vertical transmission rates and have their impact at different stages of pregnancy.

Overall, the strength of this book lies in its literature reviews. It is an extremely good summary of where we are at with perinatal infections in the year 2000. Who will find it useful? It is a postgraduate text, too detailed for undergraduates. It should be compulsory reading for obstetricians in training. I would recommend it to perinatologists, obstetricians and genitourinary medicine physicians.

It is a practical text with dosages, immunisation schedules, and treatment algorithms. It is reasonably priced. There are larger textbooks on perinatal infections costing £200, so this fills a gap in the market. Buy it and you won’t be disappointed.

M SHARLAND
Department of Paediatrics, St George’s Hospital, London SW17


Considering we inquire about or promote the use of condoms with each and every patient we see in GU/HIV clinic, it’s extraordinary how little we know about them. “Penis protectors” have come a long way since they were used in battle, cast to size, and made from goat bladder, although “natural” condoms can still be obtained today from the caeca of New Zealand lambs. Thanks to Charles Goodyear, the birth control movement, and the HIV epidemic the condom has enjoyed a renaissance and with more strin-